

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims fti was reached. _____. was not reached. _____. hti N/A.

c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

If Yes, brief description: ____

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Called Attorney and suggested claim amendments to independent claims to more clearly distinguish claims from prior art.</u> Applicant agreed to send in proposed amendments incoporating those chances.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chuck O Kendall/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

S. Patent and Tradema